

## **$C^*$ -ALGEBRA FIBRE BUNDLES<sup>1</sup>**

BY

MAW-DING JEAN

**ABSTRACT.** It will be shown in this paper that for any  $C^*$ -algebra fibre bundle with base space  $X$  and fibre  $A$ , a  $C^*$ -algebra, the Jacobson spectrum of the  $C^*$ -algebra of sections of the fibre bundle can be identified as a topological fibre bundle with the same base space  $X$  and fibre the Jacobson spectrum of  $A$ .

A  $C^*$ -algebra fibre bundle  $\Sigma = (E, X, A, p, U, \phi_u, G)$  is specified up to equivalence [3] by a topological bundle space  $E$ , a locally compact Hausdorff base space  $X$ , a  $C^*$ -algebra fibre  $A$ , a continuous projection  $p: E \rightarrow X$ , an open covering  $U$  of  $X$ , and homeomorphisms  $\phi_u: u \times A \rightarrow p^{-1}(u)$  for  $u \in U$ . The  $\phi_u$  are fibre-preserving:  $\phi_u(x, A) = p^{-1}(x)$ . Furthermore, there is an effective topological group  $G$  of  $*$ -automorphisms of the fibre  $A$ . The mappings  $\phi_u$  and the fibre  $A$  are related as follows:

For  $x \in u \cap v$ ,  $u, v \in U$ , and  $a \in A$ , let

$$\phi_u^{-1}\phi_v(x, a) = (x, g_{uv}(x)(a)).$$

Then  $g_{uv}(x) \in G$ , and the map  $g_{uv}: u \cap v \rightarrow G$  is continuous. If  $y \in p^{-1}(x)$ ,  $x \in u \in U$ , the relation is described by writing  $\phi_u^{-1}(y) = (x, t_u(y))$ . Let  $S$  be the set of continuous sections  $\gamma: X \rightarrow E$  ( $p\gamma(x) = x$ ) and  $D = \{\gamma \in S: |\gamma(x)| = \|t_u(\gamma(x))\|_A \text{ vanishes at infinity}\}$ . Note that  $|\gamma(x)| = \|t_u(\gamma(x))\|_A$  is independent of the choice of  $u$  in  $U$  containing  $x$ , since  $g_{uv}(x) \in G$  is an isometry.

For  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in D$ , if  $x \in u \in U$ , define

$$(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(x) = \phi_u(x, t_u(\gamma_1(x)) + t_u(\gamma_2(x))),$$

and

$$(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(x) = \phi_u(x, t_u(\gamma_1(x))t_u(\gamma_2(x))).$$

If  $x \in v \in U$  also, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(x) &= \phi_v(x, t_v(\gamma_1(x)) + t_v(\gamma_2(x))) \\ &= \phi_v(x, g_{vu}(x)(t_u(\gamma_1(x)) + t_u(\gamma_2(x)))) \\ &= \phi_u(x, t_u(\gamma_1(x)) + t_u(\gamma_2(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(x)$  is well defined as is  $(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(x)$ ; clearly,  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_1\gamma_2$  belong to  $D$ .

---

Received by the editors September 11, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L05, 55R10.

*Key words and phrases*.  $C^*$ -algebra, Jacobson spectrum, fibre bundle.

<sup>1</sup>This paper is extracted from the author's doctoral dissertation, written at State University of New York at Buffalo, 1981, under the supervision of Professor Bernard R. Gelbaum.

For  $\gamma \in D$ , since  $|\gamma(x)|$  is continuous on  $X$ ,

$$\|\gamma\| \equiv \sup_{x \in X} |\gamma(x)| < \infty.$$

Direct verification shows that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm on  $D$  and that with respect to this norm and the operations as defined above, and the involution  $\gamma^*(x) \equiv \phi_u(x, (t_u(\gamma(x)))^*)$ ,  $D$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, called the  $C^*$ -algebra of sections of the fibre bundle  $\Sigma$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $\Sigma = (E, X, A, p, U, \phi_u, G)$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra fibre bundle and let  $D$  be its  $C^*$ -algebra of sections. If  $u \in U$ , and  $f \in C_{00}(X, A)$  with  $\text{support}(f) \subset u$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(x) &= \phi_u(x, f(x)), \quad \text{if } x \in u, \\ &= O(x), \quad \text{if } x \notin u, \end{aligned}$$

where  $O(x) = \phi_v(x, 0)$ , if  $x \in v \in U$ . Then  $\gamma \in D$  and  $t_u(\gamma(x)) = f(x)$ , whence  $A = \{t_u(\gamma(x)) : \gamma \in D\}$  for each  $x \in X$ ,  $u \in U$  with  $x \in u$ .

PROOF. Cf. [2, Lemma 1.1].

LEMMA 2. Let  $\Sigma = (E, X, A, p, U, \phi_u, G)$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra fibre bundle and let  $D$  be its  $C^*$ -algebra of sections. If  $I$  is a closed two-sided ideal of  $D$ , for each  $x \in X$  and  $u \in U$  such that  $x \in u$ , set  $I_u(x) = \{t_u(\gamma(x)) : \gamma \in I\}$ . Then  $I = \{\gamma \in D : \text{for every } x \in X, \text{ there exists in } U \text{ a } u \text{ with } x \in u \text{ and such that } t_u(\gamma(x)) \in I_u(x)\}$ .

PROOF. If  $\gamma \in I$ , for every  $x \in X$  and  $u \in U$  with  $x \in u$ , then  $t_u(\gamma(x)) \in I_u(x)$  by definition of  $I_u(x)$ .

Conversely, if  $\gamma \in D$  and if for every  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $u$  in  $U$  with  $x \in u$  such that  $t_u(\gamma(x)) \in I_u(x)$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $K \equiv \{x \in X : |\gamma(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$  is compact. For every  $y$  in  $K$ , there exists a  $v$  in  $U$  with  $y \in v$  and there exists a  $z_y$  in  $I$  such that  $t_v(\gamma(y)) = t_v(z_y(y))$ . Since  $A$  has an approximate identity, there exists an  $a_y$  in  $A$  such that

$$\|t_v(z_y(y)) - a_y(t_v(z_y(y)))\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let  $f \in C_{00}(X)$  be such that  $f(y) = 1$  and  $\text{support}(f) \subset v$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} w_y(x) &= \phi_v(x, f(x)a_y), \quad \text{if } x \in v, \\ &= O(x), \quad \text{if } x \notin v. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $w_y \in D$  and  $t_v(w_y(y)) = f(y)a_y = a_y$  (Lemma 1), and

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma(y) - w_y z_y(y)| &= \|t_v(\gamma(y)) - t_v(w_y(y))t_v(z_y(y))\| \\ &= \|t_v(z_y(y)) - a_y t_v(z_y(y))\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of  $x \rightarrow \gamma(x)$  on  $X$ ,  $|\gamma(x) - (w_y z_y)(x)| < \varepsilon$  on a neighborhood of  $y$ . Therefore, there is a finite open covering  $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n$  of  $K$  such that  $|\gamma(x) - (w_i z_i)(x)| < \varepsilon$  on  $O_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and there are  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  constituting a partition of unity subordinate to  $O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n$ , i.e.,  $g_i \in C_{00}(X)$ ,  $g_i$  vanishes outside  $O_i$  and  $g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_n = 1$  on  $K$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i w_i z_i \in I$ , since  $g_i w_i \in D$  and  $z_i \in I$ ,

and

$$\left| \gamma(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i w_i z_i)(w) \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{on } K,$$

thus  $\|\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^n g_i w_i z_i\| < \varepsilon$ . Whence  $\gamma \in I$ , and the proof is complete.

Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra. We denote by  $\hat{A}$  the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $A$  endowed with the Jacobson topology [1, 3.1.1].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\Sigma = (E, X, A, p, U, \phi_u, G)$  be a C\*-algebra fibre bundle and let  $D$  be its C\*-algebra of sections. Then to each  $(x, u, \pi) \in X \times U \times \hat{A}$  with  $x \in u$ , there corresponds a  $\sigma$  in  $\hat{D}$  such that  $\sigma(\gamma) = \pi(t_u(\gamma(x)))$ , for  $\gamma \in D$ . Conversely, every  $\sigma$  in  $\hat{D}$  is of this form.*

**PROOF.** If  $(x, u, \pi) \in X \times U \times \hat{A}$  with  $x \in u$ , then since  $A = \{t_u(\gamma(x)): \gamma \in D\}$  (Lemma 1),  $\sigma(\gamma) \equiv \pi(t_u(\gamma(x)))$ ,  $\gamma \in D$ , is an irreducible representation of  $D$ . Conversely, if  $\sigma \in \hat{D}$ , put  $I = \ker \sigma$  and set  $S = \{x \in X: I_u(x) \neq A, \text{ for every } u \in U \text{ with } x \in u\}$ . If  $S = \emptyset$ , then for every  $x$  in  $X$ , there exists in  $U$  a  $u$  with  $x \in u$  such that  $I_u(x) = A$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $I = A$ , a contradiction, hence  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct elements in  $S$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be in  $U$  such that  $x \in u$  and  $y \in v$ . Choose two open subsets  $u_1$  and  $v_1$  in  $X$  such that  $u_1 \cap v_1 = \emptyset$  and  $x \in u_1 \subset u$ ,  $y \in v_1 \subset v$ . Define  $M = \{\gamma \in D: \gamma(z) = \phi_u(z, f(z)), \text{ if } z \in u, = O(z), \text{ if } z \notin u, \text{ where } f \in C_{00}(X, A) \text{ with support } (f) \subset u_1\}$ . Similarly, let  $N = \{\gamma \in D: \gamma(z) = \phi_v(z, g(z)), \text{ if } z \in v, = O(z), \text{ if } z \notin v, \text{ where } g \in C_{00}(X, A) \text{ with support } (g) \subset v_1\}$ . Then  $M$  and  $N$  are closed two-sided ideals of  $D$ , and if  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \in M \cdot N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_1 \gamma_2\| &= \sup_{x \in X} |(\gamma_1 \gamma_2)(x)| = \sup_{x \in X} \|t_u(\gamma_1(x)) t_u(\gamma_2(x))\| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in X} \|t_u(\gamma_1(x))\| \cdot \|t_u(\gamma_2(x))\| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $0 = M \cdot N \subset I$ . Since  $I = \ker \sigma$  is a primitive ideal of  $D$ , by [1, 2.11.4],  $M \subset I$  or  $N \subset I$ . Suppose  $M \subset I$ , then  $M_u(x) \subset I_u(x)$ . Note that  $A = M_u(x)$ , since if  $a \in A$ , by the construction of the ideal  $M$  there exists a  $\gamma$  in  $M$  such that  $a = t_u(\gamma(x))$ , and hence  $A = I_u(x)$  which contradicts  $x$  in  $S$ . Therefore  $S = \{x_0\}$  is a one-point set. Owing to Lemma 1, it follows that  $\sigma$  induces a  $\pi$  in  $\hat{A}$  according to

$$\pi(t_u(\gamma(x_0))) = \sigma(\gamma), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in D.$$

This shows that for every  $\sigma$  in  $\hat{D}$  there corresponds  $(x, u, \pi)$  in  $X \times U \times \hat{A}$  such that  $\sigma(\gamma) = \pi(t_u(\gamma(x_0)))$  for  $\gamma \in D$ .

The correspondence between  $X \times U \times \hat{A}$  and  $\hat{D}$  in Theorem 3 is not necessarily bijective, although to each  $\sigma$  in  $\hat{D}$  there corresponds a unique  $x$  in  $X$ . It will be shown that in the Jacobson topology on  $\hat{D}$ ,  $\hat{D}$  is a topological fibre bundle over  $X$  with fibre  $\hat{A}$  and covering  $U$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\Sigma = (E, X, A, p, U, \phi_u, G)$  be a C\*-algebra fibre bundle and let  $D$  be its C\*-algebra of sections. For  $\sigma$  in  $\hat{D}$  there exists according to Theorem 3 a unique  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $\sigma$  corresponds to  $(x, u, \pi)$ . Define  $p$  on  $\hat{D}$  into  $X$  by  $\tilde{p}(\sigma) = x$ , then  $\tilde{p}$  is a continuous projection.*

PROOF. It is immediate that  $\tilde{p}$  so defined is surjective.

Assume the net  $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$  converges to  $\sigma$  in  $\hat{D}$ . Choose  $(x_\lambda, u_\lambda, \pi_\lambda)$  and  $(x, u, \pi)$  in  $X \times U \times \hat{A}$  such that

$$\sigma_\lambda(\gamma) = \pi_\lambda(t_{u_\lambda}(\gamma(x_\lambda))) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\gamma) = \pi(t_u(\gamma(x))), \quad \gamma \in D.$$

Note that  $x_\lambda$  and  $x$  are uniquely determined.

If  $x_\lambda \not\rightarrow x$  in  $X$ , there exist a subnet  $\{x_{\lambda'}\}$  and a function  $f$  in  $C_{00}(X)$  with support  $(f) \subset u$  such that  $f(x_{\lambda'}) = 0$  and  $f(x) = 1$ . Furthermore, pick an  $a$  in  $A$  such that  $\pi(a) \neq 0$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(y) &= \phi_u(y, f(y)a), \quad \text{if } y \in u, \\ &= O(y), \quad \text{if } y \notin u, \end{aligned}$$

then  $\gamma \in D$  and  $t_u(\gamma(x)) = f(x)a = a$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t_u(\gamma(x_{\lambda'})) &= f(x_{\lambda'})a = 0, \quad \text{if } x_{\lambda'} \in u, \\ &= 0, \quad \text{if } x_{\lambda'} \notin u, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $t_u(\gamma(x_{\lambda'})) = 0$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{u_{\lambda'}}(\gamma(x_{\lambda'})) &= g_{u_{\lambda'}u}(x_{\lambda'})(t_u(\gamma(x_{\lambda'}))) = g_{u_{\lambda'}u}(x_{\lambda'})(0) = 0, \quad \text{if } x_{\lambda'} \in u, \\ &= 0, \quad \text{if } x_{\lambda'} \notin u. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$t_{u_{\lambda'}}(\gamma(x_{\lambda'})) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\lambda'}(t_{u_{\lambda'}}(\gamma(x_{\lambda'}))) = 0,$$

therefore,  $\gamma \in \bigcap_{\lambda'} \ker \sigma_{\lambda'}$ . Since  $\sigma_{\lambda'} \rightarrow \sigma$  in  $\hat{D}$ , it follows that  $\bigcap_{\lambda'} \ker \sigma_{\lambda'} \subset \ker \sigma$ , by [1, 3.4.4 and 3.4.10]. Whence  $\gamma \in \ker \sigma$ , but  $\sigma(\gamma) = \pi(t_u(\gamma(x))) = \pi(a) \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\tilde{p}$  is continuous.

For each  $u$  in  $U$ , define  $\tilde{\phi}_u: u \times \hat{A} \rightarrow \tilde{p}^{-1}(u)$  by  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)(\gamma) = \pi(t_u(\gamma(x)))$ ,  $\gamma \in D$ .

LEMMA 5. Use the above notations. For every  $u$  in  $U$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_u$  is a homeomorphism from  $u \times \hat{A}$  onto  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(u)$  and is fibre-preserving.

PROOF. If  $\sigma \in \tilde{p}^{-1}(u)$ , there exists (Theorem 3) a unique  $x$  in  $X$  and some  $v$  in  $U$  with  $x \in v \cap u$  such that  $\sigma(\gamma) = \pi(t_v(\gamma(x)))$ ,  $\gamma \in D$ . Let  $\delta$  be defined on  $A$  by  $\delta(a) = \pi(g_{vu}(x)(a))$ ,  $a \in A$ . Then  $\delta \in \hat{A}$  and

$$\delta(t_u(\gamma(x))) = \pi(g_{vu}(x)(t_u(\gamma(x)))) = \pi(t_v(\gamma(x))) = \sigma(\gamma), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in D.$$

Thus  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \delta) = \sigma$ , whence  $\tilde{\phi}_u$  is surjective.

To prove  $\tilde{\phi}_u$  is 1-1, assume  $(x, \pi) \neq (y, \sigma)$  in  $u \times \hat{A}$ . If  $x \neq y$ , there exists a  $f$  in  $C_{00}(X)$  with support  $(f) \subset u$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  and  $f(y) = 0$ . Choose an  $a$  in  $A$  such that  $\pi(a) \neq 0$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(z) &= \phi_u(z, f(z)a), \quad \text{if } z \in u, \\ &= O(z), \quad \text{if } z \notin u. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(t_u(\gamma(x))) &= \pi(f(x)a) = \pi(a) \neq 0, \\ \sigma(t_u(\gamma(y))) &= \sigma(f(y)a) = \sigma(0) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi) \neq \tilde{\phi}_u(y, \sigma)$ .

If  $x = y$ , then  $\pi \neq \sigma$  in  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(u)$ . Hence  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi) \neq \tilde{\phi}_u(y, \sigma)$ . This proves that  $\tilde{\phi}_u$  is bijective.

Suppose the net  $(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda) \rightarrow (x, \pi)$  in  $u \times \hat{A}$ . If  $\gamma \in \bigcap_\lambda \ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda)$ ,  $\pi_\lambda(t_u(\gamma(x_\lambda))) = 0$ . Since  $\phi_u^{-1}(y) = (x, t_u(y))$ ,  $y \rightarrow t_u(y)$  is continuous and since  $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  and  $\gamma$  is continuous,  $\gamma(x_\lambda) \rightarrow \gamma(x)$  in  $E$ . Hence, if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $\lambda_0$  such that if  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ ,  $\|t_u(\gamma(x_\lambda)) - t_u(\gamma(x))\| < \varepsilon$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\pi_\lambda(t_u(\gamma(x)))\| &= \|\pi_\lambda(t_u(\gamma(x_\lambda))) - \pi_\lambda(t_u(\gamma(x)))\| \\ &\leq \|t_u(\gamma(x_\lambda)) - t_u(\gamma(x))\| < \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|t_u(\gamma(x))/\bigcap_{\lambda > \lambda_0} \ker \pi_\lambda\| = \sup_{\lambda > \lambda_0} \|t_u(\gamma(x))/\ker \pi_\lambda\| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\pi_\lambda \rightarrow \pi$  in  $\hat{A}$ , hence  $\bigcap_{\lambda > \lambda_0} \ker \pi_\lambda \subset \ker \pi$  [1, 3.4.4 and 3.4.10]. Thus  $\|t_u(\gamma(x))/\ker \pi\| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $t_u(\gamma(x)) \in \ker \pi$ ,  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)(\gamma) = \pi(t_u(\gamma(x))) = 0$ , whence  $\gamma \in \ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)$ . This shows that  $\bigcap_\lambda \ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda) \subset \ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)$ . Hence  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi) \in \{\tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda)\}$  in  $\hat{D}$ , and the same holds for every subnet of  $(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda)$ . Hence  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)$  in  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(u)$ .

Conversely, assume  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)$  in  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(u)$ . If  $x_\lambda \not\rightarrow x$  in  $X$ , there exist a subnet  $\{x_{\lambda'}\}$  and a function  $f$  in  $C_{00}(X)$  with  $\text{support}(f) \subset u$  such that  $f(x_{\lambda'}) = 0$  and  $f(x) = 1$ . Let  $a$  in  $A$  be such that  $\pi(a) \neq 0$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(y) &= \phi_u(y, f(y)a), \quad \text{if } y \in u, \\ &= 0(y), \quad \text{if } y \notin u,\end{aligned}$$

then  $\gamma \in D$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{\lambda'}(t_u(\gamma(x_{\lambda'}))) &= \pi_{\lambda'}(f(x_{\lambda'})a) = \pi_{\lambda'}(0) = 0, \\ \pi(t_u(\gamma(x))) &= \pi(f(x)a) = \pi(a) \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\gamma \in \bigcap_{\lambda'} \ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x_{\lambda'}, \pi_{\lambda'})$ , but  $\gamma \notin \ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)$ , which contradicts  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)$ . Thus  $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ .

Next, it will be shown  $\pi_\lambda \rightarrow \pi$  in  $\hat{A}$ . If  $a \in \bigcap_\lambda \ker \pi_\lambda$ ,  $\pi_\lambda(a) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$ . By Lemma 1, there exists a  $\gamma$  in  $D$  such that  $a = t_u(\gamma(x))$ . It has been shown that  $x_\lambda \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ , so that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\lambda_0$  such that if  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  then

$$\|t_u(\gamma(x_\lambda)) - t_u(\gamma(x))\| < \varepsilon,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\|\pi_\lambda(t_u(\gamma(x_\lambda)))\| &= \|\pi_\lambda(t_u(\gamma(x_\lambda))) - \pi_\lambda(t_u(\gamma(x)))\| \\ &\leq \|t_u(\gamma(x_\lambda)) - t_u(\gamma(x))\| < \varepsilon,\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\|\gamma/\ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda)\| = \|\pi_\lambda(t_u(\gamma(x_\lambda)))\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } \lambda > \lambda_0.$$

Thus  $\|\gamma/\bigcap_{\lambda > \lambda_0} \ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda)\| < \varepsilon$ .

Since  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda) \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)$ ,  $\bigcap_{\lambda > \lambda_0} \ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x_\lambda, \pi_\lambda) \subset \ker \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)$ . Hence  $\|\gamma/\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)\| < \varepsilon$  and therefore  $\gamma \in \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi)$ , i.e.,  $\pi(t_u(\gamma(x))) = \pi(a) = 0$ . Thus  $a \in \ker \pi$ , whence  $\bigcap_\lambda \ker \pi_\lambda \subset \ker \pi$ . It follows that  $\pi \in \overline{\{\pi_\lambda\}}$  in  $\hat{A}$  by [1, 3.4.4 and 3.4.10], and the same holds for every subnet of  $\{\pi_\lambda\}$ . Therefore  $\pi_\lambda \rightarrow \pi$  in  $\hat{A}$ , and this proves that  $\tilde{\phi}_u$  is bicontinuous.

Finally, if  $x \in u$  and  $\sigma$  is in  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(x)$ ,  $\sigma$  corresponds by Theorem 3 to some  $(x, v, \pi)$  in  $X \times U \times \hat{A}$  such that

$$\sigma(\gamma) = \pi(t_v(\gamma(x))), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in D.$$

Since  $\pi \in \hat{A}$ ,  $\pi g_{vu}(x) \in \hat{A}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \pi g_{vu}(x)))(\gamma) &= (\pi g_{vu}(x))(t_u(\gamma(x))) \\ &= \pi(g_{vu}(x)(t_u(\gamma(x)))) = \pi(t_v(\gamma(x))) = \sigma(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \hat{A}) = \tilde{p}^{-1}(x)$  and thus  $\tilde{\phi}_u$  is fibre-preserving.

If  $g \in G$ , and if the map  $g^*: \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is defined by  $g^*(\pi)(a) = \pi(g(a))$ , then  $g^*$  is bijective. Furthermore, if the net  $\pi_\lambda \rightarrow \pi$  in  $\hat{A}$ , and if  $a \in \bigcap_\lambda \ker g^*(\pi_\lambda)$ ,  $\pi_\lambda(g(a)) = 0$ . Hence  $g(a) \in \bigcap_\lambda \ker \pi_\lambda$ . Since  $\pi_\lambda \rightarrow \pi$  in  $\hat{A}$ ,  $\bigcap_\lambda \ker \pi_\lambda \subset \ker \pi$ , by [1, 3.4.4 and 3.4.10]. Whence  $g(a) \in \ker \pi$ ,  $a \in \ker g^*(\pi)$ . Thus  $\bigcap_\lambda \ker g^*(\pi_\lambda) \subset \ker g^*(\pi)$ ,  $g^*(\pi) \in \overline{\{g^*(\pi_\lambda)\}}$  in  $\hat{A}$ , and the same holds for every subnet of  $\{g^*(\pi_\lambda)\}$ . Therefore  $g^*(\pi_\lambda) \rightarrow g^*(\pi)$  and thus  $g^*$  is continuous. A similar argument shows  $(g^*)^{-1}$  is continuous. Thus  $g^*$  belongs to  $\text{Auteo}(\hat{A})$ , the group of self-homeomorphisms of  $\hat{A}$ .

Consider the group  $\tilde{G}$  of self-homeomorphisms of the form  $g^*$  where  $g \in G$ . The map  $T: G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  defined by  $T(g) = g^*$  is a group anti-isomorphism ( $T(gh) = T(h)T(g)$ ). If the quotient group  $G/\ker T$  is given the quotient topology,  $G/\ker T$  is a topological group. Since

$$g/\ker T \rightarrow g^*$$

is an anti-isomorphism from  $G/\ker T$  onto  $\tilde{G}$ , we topologize  $\tilde{G}$  by giving it the topology derived from  $G/\ker T$ , i.e., a set  $S^* \subset \tilde{G}$  is open if and only if the preimage  $S$  is open in  $G/\ker T$ . Then  $\tilde{G}$  becomes a topological group.

If  $u, v \in U$  and  $x \in u \cap v$ , define

$$\tilde{g}_{uv}(x): \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}$$

by

$$\tilde{g}_{uv}(x)(\pi)(a) = \pi(g_{vu}(x)(a)), \quad \text{for } \pi \in \hat{A}, a \in A.$$

Then  $\tilde{g}_{uv}(x) = (g_{vu}(x))^* \in \tilde{G}$ .

Since the map  $g_{uv}: u \cap v \rightarrow G$  is continuous, and the canonical map:  $G \rightarrow G/\ker T$  is also continuous, it follows that the map  $\tilde{g}_{uv}: u \cap v \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  is continuous. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_v(x, \pi)(\gamma) &= \pi(t_v(\gamma(x))) = \pi(g_{vu}(x)(t_u(\gamma(x)))) \\ &= \tilde{g}_{uv}(x)(\pi)(t_u(\gamma(x))) \\ &= \tilde{\phi}_u(x, \tilde{g}_{uv}(x)(\pi))(\gamma), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in D. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\tilde{\phi}_u^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_v(x, \pi) = (x, \tilde{g}_{uv}(x)(\pi))$ .

Owing to Lemmas 4 and 5, the following result may be demonstrated:

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $\Sigma = (E, X, A, p, U, \phi_u, G)$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra fibre bundle over a locally compact Hausdorff base space  $X$ , with fibre  $A$ , a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $\Sigma$  defines a topological fibre bundle  $\hat{\Sigma} = (\hat{D}, X, \hat{A}, \tilde{p}, U, \tilde{\phi}_u, \tilde{G})$  over the same base space  $X$ , with fibre the Jacobson spectrum  $\hat{A}$  of  $A$  and bundle the Jacobson spectrum  $\hat{D}$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra of sections of  $\Sigma$ .*

**PROOF.** By the above argument, the following results are readily derived:

- (i)  $\tilde{p}$  is a continuous projection from  $\hat{D}$  onto  $X$ ,
- (ii)  $\tilde{\phi}_u$  is a homeomorphism from  $u \times \hat{A}$  onto  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(u)$  and is fibre-preserving in that  $\tilde{\phi}_u(x, \hat{A}) = \tilde{p}^{-1}(x)$ ,
- (iii)  $\tilde{\phi}_u^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_v(x, \pi) = (x, \tilde{g}_{uv}(x)(\pi))$  and  $\tilde{g}_{uv}(x) \in \tilde{G}$ , and the map  $\tilde{g}_{uv}: u \cap v \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  is continuous.

Therefore  $\hat{\Sigma} = (\hat{D}, X, \hat{A}, \tilde{p}, U, \tilde{\phi}_u, \tilde{G})$  is a topological fibre bundle.

**REMARK.** It is shown in [2] that if  $\Sigma$  is a Banach algebra fibre bundle over a compact Hausdorff base space  $X$  with fibre  $A$  a so-called  $Q$ -uniform Banach algebra then the maximal ideal space (corresponding to  $\hat{D}$ ) of the Banach algebra of sections of  $\Sigma$  with suitable topology can be identified as a topological fibre bundle with base space  $X$  and fibre the set of maximal ideals of the Banach algebra  $A$ . An example is given there to show that if the Jacobson topologies are used for the maximal ideal space of the Banach algebra of sections of  $\Sigma$  and the maximal ideal space of  $A$ , the coordinate functions  $\tilde{\phi}_u$  (as in Lemma 5) need not be continuous.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Dixmier, *C\*-algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York and Oxford, 1977.
2. B. R. Gelbaum, *Banach algebra bundles*, Pacific J. Math. **16** (1969), 337–349.
3. N. Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1960.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOOCHOW UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI, TAIWAN